



Jay E. Gruber
Senior Attorney
Law & Government Affairs

Room 420
99 Bedford Street
Boston, MA 02111
617 574-3149
FAX (281) 664-9929

April 5, 2005

BY HAND AND ELECTRONIC MAIL

Mary Cottrell, Secretary
Department of Telecommunications and Energy
One South Station
Boston, MA 02110

Re: D.T.E. 03-60

Dear Secretary Cottrell:

On March 11, 2005, the Department of Telecommunications and Energy ("Department") issued a request for comments regarding a proposal filed by Verizon on February 24, 2005, "to make [the Basic hot cut process using] WPTS available in Massachusetts on the same basis as in New York upon CLEC request and execution of an amendment to its interconnection agreement."¹ The Department also sought comments on Verizon's proposal to provide Large Job Hot cuts and Batch Hot Cuts on the same basis as in New York.² This letter is AT&T's response to the Department's request.

AT&T does not oppose Verizon's proposal, provided that the ambiguity in Verizon's New York tariff regarding the application of Verizon's IDLC-Copper Surcharge and an additional dispatch charge is clarified to ensure that such charges apply only when a CLEC requests a copper loop in connection with the hot cut of an IDLC loop. Because a copy of the relevant section of the New York tariff has been attached to the comments of Conversent filed today in this docket, AT&T does not attach a copy here.

Thank you very much.

Respectfully submitted,

Jay E. Gruber

cc: DTE 03-60 Service List

¹ Request for Comments re: WPTS, Large Job, and Batch Hot Cuts, March 11, 2005, at 1.

² Id., at 2.